



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/651,875	08/30/2000	John Underwood	730301-2016	1909
20999	7590	04/01/2008	EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151				CAMPBELL, JOSHUA D
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2178				
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		04/01/2008		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/651,875	UNDERWOOD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOSHUA D. CAMPBELL	2178

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 9-14, 54 and 56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 9-14, 54 and 56 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment filed 1/16/2008.
2. Claims 9-14, 54, and 56 are pending in this case. Claims 9, 54, and 56 are independent claims. Claims 9-14, 54, and 56 have been amended. Claims 1-8, 15-53 55, 57, and 58 have been cancelled.
3. The rejection of claims 9-15, 26-28, 35-39, 50-52, 54, and 56-58 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement has been withdrawn due to amendments.
4. The objection of claim 56 because of the informalities stated above, which are viewed to be non-limiting due to the fact that they are preceded by the phrase "for" has been withdrawn due to amendments.
5. The rejection of claims 9-14, 54, and 56 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dozier et al. (US Patent Number 6,393,469, filed on March 28, 1995) in view of Horstmann (US Patent Number 5,995,099, filed on June 10, 1996) has been withdrawn due to amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

7. Claims 9, 10, 54, and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Horstmann (US Patent Number 5,995,099, filed on June 10, 1996).

Regarding independent claim 9, Horstmann discloses identifying web content associated with a first source and identifying a web page of the web content (bicycles) which the user has the authority to edit (column 1, lines 5-50 and column 2, lines 42-54 of Horstmann). Horstmann also discloses identifying a second type of web page that the user does not have the authority to edit containing the web content (in this case, books about bicycles) and identifying the web content (books) of the second source (column 1, lines 5-50 and column 2, lines 42-54 of Horstmann). Horstmann also discloses placing a link on the first type of web page that links to the web content of the

second source (column 1, lines 40-42 of Horstmann) and based on this a referral is generated (column 1, lines 43-50 of Horstmann).

Regarding dependent claim 10, Horstmann discloses presenting a prompt for an acceptance of generated link (page owner has the ability to deny, accept, edit, or delete links via prompt) (column 5, line 35-column 6, line 21 of Horstmann).

Regarding independent claim 54, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 9. Thus, the claim is rejected along the same rationale as claim 9.

Regarding independent claim 56, the claim incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 9. Thus, the claim is rejected along the same rationale as claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
9. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horstmann (US Patent Number 5,995,099, filed on June 10, 1996) in view of Gentner (US Patent Number 5,724,595, issued March 3, 1998).

Regarding dependent claims 11-14, Horstmann discloses that the client device is a computer (multipurpose input-output device), which would include a portable computer (wireless device), is connected to the Internet or other network (column 7, line 63-column 8, line 67 of Horstmann). Horstmann does not explicitly disclose displaying

the first type of web pages with web content of the second source which is based upon one or more characteristics of the web content associated with the second source.

However, Gentner discloses that when a user creates a link on a first type of page to the content of a second source that web content from that source defined by the content of the source is included in the display of the web page with the link (column 1, lines 55-67 of Gentner). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Horstmann with the teachings of Gentner because it would have increased the efficiency of creating links between web pages.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-14, 54, and 56 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. With respect to the newly amended claims, the examiner feels that it is necessary to point out that two features that the applicant points to as overcoming the art in the first paragraph of page 9 of the applicant's response (distinguishing between content that may be edited and content that may not be edited and linking edited content to a second source) can be interpreted as nothing more than the very basic, notoriously well-known process of adding hyperlinks to a page that a user owns to other target pages that the user does not own. Not only does this course of amendments not overcome the previous rejection, but as can be seen in the rejection above it actually makes broadens the scope of coverage of the claims.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSHUA D. CAMPBELL whose telephone number is (571)272-4133. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 AM - 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen S. Hong/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2178

/J. D. C./
Examiner, Art Unit 2178
March 19, 2008